Application No. Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	10/840,145	LASEE, JACK C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William V. Gilbert	3635	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>William V. Gilbert</u> .	(3) <u>K. Baxter</u> .		
(2) <u>B Katcheves</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 13 August 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Stark (U.S. Patent No.	4,259,818), LaSee (U.S. Pate	nt No. 5,207,044	<u>)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	1/A.	
reached, or any other comments: discussion to claim arou between the window and the frame containing it. It was no of the invention (i.e. when the window is in the molten state (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE / INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	oled that the phrases in the cla e) is functional. dments which the examiner ag copy of the amendments that v d.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE e last Office action has already I.OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT? IERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would render would render the sould	e operation or the claim claims  OF THE LICANT IS